1 2 3 4 5	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CSBN 44332) United States Attorney BRIAN J. STRETCH (CSBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division ANDREW P. CAPUTO (CSBN 203655) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7004
7 8 9	Fax: (415) 436-7234 Email: andrew.caputo@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. 3-09-71021 JCS
14	Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM
15	v. SPEEDY TRIAL ACT CALCULATION
16	(18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A)) AND ZHEN PAI LIU,) EXTENDING TIME PURSUANT TO FED. R. CRIM. P. 5.1
17	Defendant.
18	,
19	With the agreement of the parties, and with the consent of defendant Zhen Pai Liu, the
20	Court enters this order documenting (a) an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18
21	U.S.C. § 3161(b), from June 7, 2010, to July 7, 2010, and (b) an extension to July 7, 2010, of the
22	time for holding a preliminary hearing pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1. The
23	parties agree, and the Court finds and holds, as follows:
24	1. Defendant agreed to an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act. The parties
25	have been engaged in discussions about a potential resolution of this case. Defendant's counsel
26	wishes to review certain discovery materials and to consult with his client about those discovery
27	materials and about the case. In addition, defendant's counsel will be out of the country for
28	approximately ten days later this month. Accordingly, failure to grant the requested continuance

1

would unreasonably deny defendant's counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, in this case, and also would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel.

- 2. Given these circumstances, the Court found that the ends of justice served by excluding the period from June 7, 2010, to July 7, 2010, outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Id. at § 3161(h)(7)(A). For the same reasons, the Court found good cause to delay a preliminary hearing until July 7, 2010. Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1(d). Defendant consented to this delay in the preliminary hearing.
- 3. Accordingly, and with the consent of the defendant, at the hearing on June 7, 2010, the Court ordered that the period from June 7, 2010, to July 7, 2010, be excluded from Speedy Trial Act calculations under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B)(iv). Also with the content of the defendant, the Court ordered that any preliminary hearing be continued until July 7, 2010.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED: June 8, 2010

HUGH ANTHONY LEVINE Attorney for Defendant

DATED: June 8, 2010

ANDREW P. CAPUTO Assistant United States Attorney

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 06/14/10

Judge Joseph C. Spero